

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
CHARLOTTE DIVISION
3:95CR111-MU**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,

v.

JUAN CARLOS RETAYAUD,
Defendant.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

ORDER

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on a document captioned as a “Motion to Humbly Ask This Honorable District Court to Take into Consideration to Give Petitioner a Chance to be Able to Put New Evidence Before You. So That he can File his Motion Under New Evidence That May Give Petitioner Some Type of Relief” (document # 118). In his Motion, Plaintiff fails to point to any law justifying the relief requested. Furthermore, this Court’s Order from August 1, 2008 (document # 117) already explained why relief is inappropriate in these circumstances. Accordingly,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT Plaintiff’s Motion is **DENIED.**

Signed: December 1, 2008



Graham C. Mullen
United States District Judge

